```
1
    KELLY BABINEAU (CA State Bar #190418)
    The Law Office of Kelly Babineau
 2
    901 H Street, Suite 203
    Sacramento, CA 95814
 3
    Tel:(916) 442-4948
 4
    kbabineau@klblawoffice.net
 5
    Attorney for DEDRICK BLOUNT
 6
 7
 8
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
    THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. 2:21-CR-0035JAM
                       Plaintiff,
11
                                          ) AMENDED STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
                                          ) STATUS CONFERENCE AND
12
                                          ) EXCLUDABLE TIME;
          v.
13
                                          ) PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
                                          ) FINDINGS AND ORDER
14
15
    DEDRICK BLOUNT, and
                                          ) Date: January 11, 2021
    FRANK HOWARD GOWANS, Jr.
                                          ) Time: 9:30 a.m
16
                       Defendant.
                                          ) Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
17
                                     STIPULATION
18
19
       By previous order, this matter was set a status conference on November 16, 2021. By
20
    this stipulation, the parties request to continue the status conference on November 16,
21
    2021, to January 11, 2022, and to exclude time between November 16, 2021 and January
22
    11, 2022, under Local Code T4.
23
24
       1. The parties first acknowledge the extraordinary global events since March 2020
25
          and the General Orders issued by the Chief Judge of this District in response to
26
          those events. A series of General Orders issued by the Chief United States District
27
28
          Judge for the Eastern District of California address the pandemic, including
```

Case 2:21-cr-00035-JAM Document 63 Filed 11/12/21 Page 2 of 4

General Orders 612, 617, 618, 624, 628, 630, 631, 632 and 635. These general orders have resulted in restrictions to public access to federal courthouses in the Eastern District of California for most of the period since March 18, 2020. General Order 631 provided each district judge discretion to hold hearing in person or via remote conferencing beginning on June 14, 2021.

- 2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a. The government has provided approximately 1300 pages of discovery associated with this case, which includes reports, photographs and other documents, as well as multiple recordings. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and / or made available for inspection and copying.
 - b. The Indictment in this case was returned on February 11, 2021 (ECF 1.)
 - c. Defendant Frank Gowens was arraigned on March 5, 2021 (ECF 11) and Defendant Dedric Blount was arraigned on April 15, 2021 (ECF 27.)
 - d. The government and defense counsel have engaged in, and continue to discuss, ongoing plea negotiations.
 - e. Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to finish review of the discovery, investigation, consultation with their clients, and finish negotiating a possible resolution. All parties believe a resolution is possible and are working towards that.
 - f. Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective

Case 2:21-cr-00035-JAM Document 63 Filed 11/12/21 Page 3 of 4

preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- g. The government does not object to the continuance.
- h. Based upon the above-stated facts, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- i. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 16, 2021 to January 11, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), Local Code T4, because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provision of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 10, 2021

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ JASON HITT
JASON HITT
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: November 10, 2021 /s/ KELLY BABINEAU **KELLY BABINEAU** Attorney for DEDRICK BLOUNT FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 10th day of November, 2021. /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE